

Lecture 2

\mathbb{R} - and \mathbb{C} -Differentiability

Let $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ be a point in \mathbb{C} and f a function defined on a neighbourhood of z_0 (e.g., on an open disk $\Delta(z_0, r)$ for some $r > 0$) with values in \mathbb{C} . Write $f(z) = \operatorname{Re} f(z) + i \operatorname{Im} f(z) = u(z) + iv(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$.

Definition 2.1. The function f is called \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 if there exist real numbers a, b, c, d such that

$$u(x, y) = u(x_0, y_0) + a\Delta x + b\Delta y + o(\Delta x, \Delta y),$$

$$v(x, y) = v(x_0, y_0) + c\Delta x + d\Delta y + o(\Delta x, \Delta y),$$

where $\Delta x := x - x_0$, $\Delta y := y - y_0$ and $o(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ denotes any real-valued function with the property

$$\frac{o(\Delta x, \Delta y)}{\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Here a, b, c, d are determined uniquely and are in fact the corresponding *first-order partial derivatives of u and v at (x_0, y_0)* :

$$a = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0), \quad b = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0), \quad c = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0), \quad d = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0).$$

We will now introduce the concept of complex differentiability and compare it with that of real differentiability as defined above.

Definition 2.2. The function f is said to be \mathbb{C} -differentiable at z_0 if there exists the limit

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z},$$

that is, one can find $A \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ with the property

$$\left| \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} - A \right| < \varepsilon$$

if $0 < |\Delta z| < \delta$. In this case, the complex number A , i.e., the value of the limit, is called *the derivative of f at z_0* and is denoted by $f'(z_0)$.

The usual rules from real analysis for computing the derivatives of sums, products, quotients and compositions of \mathbb{C} -differentiable functions work here (check!).

Definition 2.2 is equivalent to saying that for some $A \in \mathbb{C}$ the function f is represented as

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + A\Delta z + o(\Delta z), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Delta z := z - z_0$ and $o(\Delta z)$ denotes any complex-valued function with the property

$$\frac{o(\Delta z)}{\Delta z} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Delta z \rightarrow 0$$

(note that one can write $o(\Delta z)$ instead of $o(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ in Definition 2.1). By separating the real and imaginary parts in identity (2.1), we see that it is equivalent to the following pair of real identities:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= u(x_0, y_0) + \operatorname{Re} A \Delta x - \operatorname{Im} A \Delta y + o(\Delta x, \Delta y), \\ v(x, y) &= v(x_0, y_0) + \operatorname{Im} A \Delta x + \operatorname{Re} A \Delta y + o(\Delta x, \Delta y). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these identities with Definition 2.1 and taking into account that the constants a, b, c, d are chosen uniquely, we obtain:

Theorem 2.1. *The function f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ if and only if it is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 and the first-order partial derivatives of u and v at (x_0, y_0) satisfy the relations*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0). \quad (2.2)$$

Relations (2.2) are called *the Cauchy-Riemann equations* or simply *the CR-equations*. We will now rewrite them in a different form.

Definition 2.3. Let f be \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 . Set

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right),$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) := \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) := \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0).$$

Now, multiplying the second identity from Definition 2.1 by i , adding it to the first one and substituting

$$\Delta x = \frac{\Delta z + \overline{\Delta z}}{2}, \quad \Delta y = \frac{\Delta z - \overline{\Delta z}}{2i},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= f(z_0) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right) \frac{\Delta z + \overline{\Delta z}}{2} + \\
 &\quad \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) \frac{\Delta z - \overline{\Delta z}}{2i} + o(\Delta z) = \\
 &= f(z_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) \Delta z + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) \overline{\Delta z} + o(\Delta z) = \\
 &= f(z_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0) \Delta z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) \overline{\Delta z} + o(\Delta z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above formula with (2.1), we see:

Theorem 2.2. *The function f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at z_0 if and only if it is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = 0$. In this case $f'(z_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0)$.*

Proof. Homework. (Hint: use, e.g., Exercise 2.4.) \square

Remark 2.1. The fact that the CR-equations from Theorem 2.1 are equivalent to the condition $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = 0$ can be also seen directly from Definition 2.3 by separating the real and imaginary parts of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0)$. Indeed, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right),$$

hence the complex identity $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = 0$ is equivalent to the pair of real identities in (2.2).

The “formal derivatives” $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$ can be often treated as “usual” derivatives. For example, consider a complex-valued polynomial in x, y

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} a_{\ell m} x^\ell y^m, \quad a_{\ell m} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Substituting

$$x = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \quad y = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i},$$

we express P via z, \bar{z} :

$$P = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} b_{\ell m} z^\ell \bar{z}^m$$

for some $b_{\ell m} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.1. *For all $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}(z_0) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} \ell b_{\ell m} z_0^{\ell-1} \bar{z}_0^m, \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} m b_{\ell m} z_0^\ell \bar{z}_0^{m-1}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the proposition for any monomial $Q := z^\ell \bar{z}^m$. Since $Q = (x + iy)^\ell (x - iy)^m$, for $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) &= \ell(x_0 + iy_0)^{\ell-1} (x_0 - iy_0)^m + m(x_0 + iy_0)^\ell (x_0 - iy_0)^{m-1} = \\ &\quad \ell z_0^{\ell-1} \bar{z}_0^m + m z_0^\ell \bar{z}_0^{m-1}, \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) &= i\ell(x_0 + iy_0)^{\ell-1} (x_0 - iy_0)^m - im(x_0 + iy_0)^\ell (x_0 - iy_0)^{m-1} = \\ &\quad i\ell z_0^{\ell-1} \bar{z}_0^m - im z_0^\ell \bar{z}_0^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

(check!). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}(z_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - i \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) = \ell z_0^{\ell-1} \bar{z}_0^m, \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) + i \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) = m z_0^\ell \bar{z}_0^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

We will be mostly interested in the \mathbb{C} -differentiability of functions on open subsets of the complex plane.

Definition 2.4. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *holomorphic on D* if f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at every point of D . All functions holomorphic on D form a vector space over \mathbb{C} , which we denote by $H(D)$. Functions in $H(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e., those holomorphic on all of \mathbb{C}) are called *entire*.

We have the usual facts:

- (1) if $f, g \in H(D)$, then $f + g \in H(D)$ and $fg \in H(D)$;
- (2) if $f, g \in H(D)$ and g does not vanish at any point of D , then $f/g \in H(D)$;
- (3) if $f \in H(D)$, $g \in H(G)$, $f(D) \subset G$, then $g \circ f \in H(D)$.

The proofs are identical to those for functions differentiable on open subsets of \mathbb{R} from real analysis (check!).

Usually, in what follows D will be a *domain*, i.e., an open *connected* subset of \mathbb{C} , where connectedness is understood as the existence, for any $z, w \in D$, of a *path in D joining z and w* , that is, of a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ with $\gamma(0) = z$, $\gamma(1) = w$ (in this case, we sometimes say that z is the *initial point* of γ and w is its *terminal point*). Strictly speaking, the above property is called *path-connectedness* but for open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n (in fact, for open subsets of any locally path-connected topological space) it is equivalent to connectedness, i.e., to the non-existence of a non-trivial subset that is both open and closed (check!).

In what follows, we will be often interested in extending a function $f \in H(D)$ holomorphically beyond the domain D . Namely, for a domain $G \supset D$ we say that f [holomorphically] extends [to G], or that f can be [holomorphically] extended [to G], if there exists $F \in H(G)$ such that $F(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in D$. In this case F is called a *holomorphic extension of f [to G]* and we also say that f extends to a function holomorphic on G , or that f can be extended to a function holomorphic on G .

Example 2.1. Let $f(z) := z = x + iy$. Here $u = x$, $v = y$, hence

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \equiv 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \equiv 0.$$

Thus, we see that f is an entire function. It then follows that every polynomial $P(z) = a_K z^K + a_{K-1} z^{K-1} + \cdots + a_0$ in z is an entire function.

Example 2.2. Let $f(z) := e^z = e^x(\cos y + i \sin y)$. Here $u = e^x \cos y$, $v = e^x \sin y$, hence

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = e^x \cos y, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -e^x \sin y.$$

Therefore, f is an entire function. Also, for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$f'(z_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right) = \frac{1}{2} (e^{z_0} - i(i e^{z_0})) = e^{z_0}$$

as expected. It then follows that *the basic trigonometric functions*

$$\cos z := \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}, \quad \sin z := \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}$$

are entire as well.

Example 2.3. Let $f(z) := \bar{z} = x - iy$. Here $u = x$, $v = -y$, hence

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \equiv 1, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \equiv -1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \equiv 0.$$

Therefore, f is not \mathbb{C} -differentiable at any point of \mathbb{C} .

Example 2.4. Let $f(z) := \bar{z}^2 = x^2 - y^2 - 2ixy$. Here $u = x^2 - y^2$, $v = -2xy$, hence

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -2x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -2y, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -2y.$$

Therefore, f is only \mathbb{C} -differentiable at the origin. In particular, f is not holomorphic on any domain in \mathbb{C} .

Notice also that as a consequence of Proposition 2.1 we have:

Corollary 2.1. *The polynomial P from Proposition 2.1 is an entire function if and only if $b_{\ell,m} = 0$ for all $m > 0$. In this case the derivative $P' = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}$ is given by formal differentiation with respect to z .*

Proof. Homework. (Hint: use exercise 2.10.) \square

Exercises

2.1. Let f be \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 . Prove that the Jacobian of f at z_0 is equal to

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0) \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) \right|^2.$$

2.2. Let f be \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 . Prove that the *limit set* of the ratio

$$g(z) := \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}, \quad z \neq z_0,$$

at z_0 is the circle centred at the point $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0)$ of radius $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) \right|$. Here the limit set consists of all *limit points* of g at z_0 , i.e., of all complex numbers A for which there is a sequence $\{z_n\}$ not including the point z_0 , with $|z_n - z_0| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that $|g(z_n) - A| \rightarrow 0$.

2.3. Let f be continuously differentiable on \mathbb{C} . Suppose that f preserves distances, i.e.,

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| = |z_1 - z_2| \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Prove that either $f(z) = e^{i\alpha}z + a$, or $f(z) = e^{i\alpha}\bar{z} + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. (Hint: use Exercise 2.2.)

2.4. Assume that f is defined on a neighbourhood of a point z_0 and in this neighbourhood for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ the following holds:

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + A\Delta z + B\overline{\Delta z} + o(\Delta z),$$

where $\Delta z := z - z_0$. Prove that f is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at z_0 with $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0)$ and $B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0)$.

2.5. Suppose that $f = u + iv$ is defined on a neighbourhood of 0 and is continuous at 0. Assume that all first-order partial derivatives of u and v exist at 0 and satisfy the CR-equations at 0. Does it follow that f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at the origin? Prove your conclusion.

2.6. For each of the following functions, find all points at which it is \mathbb{C} -differentiable:

- (i) $z^2|z|^4$,
- (ii) $(\operatorname{Re} z)^4$,
- (iii) $\sin(\operatorname{Im} z)$.

2.7. Let $f = u + iv$ be \mathbb{C} -differentiable at z_0 , with $f'(z_0) \neq 0$, and continuously differentiable on a neighbourhood of z_0 . Prove that the angle between the level sets of u and v at z_0 (that is, any of the four angles between the tangent lines to the level sets at z_0) is equal to $\pi/2$. (Hint: write the tangent lines to the level sets at z_0 via the first-order partial derivatives of u and v at z_0 .)

2.8. Suppose that a function f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at a point z_0 . Prove that the function

$$g(z) := \overline{f(\bar{z})}$$

is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at the point \bar{z}_0 and $g'(\bar{z}_0) = \overline{f'(z_0)}$.

2.9. Suppose that a function f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at a point z_0 and $f'(z_0) \neq 0$. Show that for any disk $\Delta(z_0, r)$ on which f is defined the set $f(\Delta(z_0, r))$ cannot lie in a half-plane on either side of any line passing through $f(z_0)$.

2.10. Show that for a polynomial

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} b_{\ell m} z^\ell \bar{z}^m, \quad b_{\ell m} \in \mathbb{C},$$

in z, \bar{z} one has $P \equiv 0$ on an open subset of \mathbb{C} if and only if $b_{\ell m} = 0$ for all $\ell, m \in \{0, \dots, K\}$. (Hint: argue by induction using Proposition 2.1.)

2.11. Suppose that for a polynomial

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell, m \leq K} b_{\ell m} z^\ell \bar{z}^m, \quad b_{\ell m} \in \mathbb{C},$$

in z, \bar{z} we have $b_{\ell m} \neq 0$ for some $0 \leq \ell \leq K$ and some $0 < m \leq K$. Prove that the set of points at which P is \mathbb{C} -differentiable is nowhere dense in \mathbb{C} . (Hint: use Exercise 2.10.)

2.12. Find the derivatives of $\sin z$ and $\cos z$ at an arbitrary point of \mathbb{C} .

2.13. Prove that $|\cos z|$ and $|\sin z|$ are not bounded on \mathbb{C} .

2.14. How many zeroes does the entire function $2 + \sin z$ have in \mathbb{C} ? Find all the zeroes.

2.15. Using the power series expansions of e^x , $\cos y$, $\sin y$, find a power series expansion with centre 0 for each of e^z , $\cos z$, $\sin z$, i.e., represent each of these functions in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (Hint: substitute the corresponding series into the expression $e^x \cos y + i e^x \sin y$ using arithmetic operations with absolutely convergent series and the possibility of re-arranging their terms.)

2.16. Prove that the function $\frac{\sin z}{z}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that it holomorphically extends to \mathbb{C} .

2.17. Let

$$f(z) := \begin{cases} z^2 \sin \frac{1}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Is this function \mathbb{C} -differentiable at 0? Prove your conclusion.

2.18. Let $f(z) = u(x) + iv(y)$ be an entire function. Prove that $f(z) = az + b$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.19. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Write the quadratic polynomial $P(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ in x, y via z and \bar{z} and find necessary and sufficient conditions on a, b, c for P to be an entire function.

2.20. Find all entire functions f such that $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = x^2 - y^2$.

2.21. Find an entire functions f such that $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = x^2 - y^2 + xy$, $f(0) = 0$.

2.22. Find an entire functions f such that $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = e^x(x \cos y - y \sin y)$, $f(0) = 0$.

2.23. Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. For all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ define

$$f(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \frac{g(t)}{t - z} dt, \quad (2.3)$$

where the integral is understood by separating the real and imaginary parts of the integrand. Prove that $f \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1])$.

2.24. Construct an example showing that the Mean Value Theorem for \mathbb{C} -valued functions does not hold. Namely, find a differentiable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f'(t) \neq f(1) - f(0)$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

2.25. Prove the following variant of the Mean Value Theorem for \mathbb{C} -valued functions: if $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuously differentiable function, then the difference $f(1) - f(0)$ lies in the closure of the convex hull of the set $\{f'(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$. (Hint: use the Newton-Leibniz formula for $\operatorname{Re} f$ and $\operatorname{Im} f$.)

2.26. Let P be a polynomial in z of positive degree and S the smallest convex polygon containing the roots of P . Prove that all roots of P' lie in S . (Hint: use Exercise 1.7.)

Twenty-One Lectures on Complex Analysis
A First Course

Isaev, A.

2017, XII, 194 p. 30 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-68169-6